

Generating the Curvature Perturbation at the End of Inflation in String Theory

David H. Lyth¹ and Antonio Riotto²

(1) *Physics Department, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YB, UK*

(2) *CERN, Theory Division, Geneva 23, CH-1211 Switzerland*

INFN, Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131, Italy

(February 5, 2008)

In brane inflationary scenarios, the cosmological perturbations are supposed to originate from the vacuum fluctuations of the inflaton field corresponding to the position of the brane. We show that a significant, and possibly dominant, contribution to the curvature perturbation is generated at the end of inflation through the vacuum fluctuations of fields, other than the inflaton, which are light during the inflationary trajectory and become heavy at the brane-anti brane annihilation. These fields appear generically in string compactifications where the background geometry has exact or approximate isometries and parametrize the internal angular directions of the brane.

PACS numbers: 98.80.cq; CERN-PH-TH-2006-132

Significant progress has been recently made in obtaining brane inflation [1–3] from specific string theory constructions. In these models, the distance between a D -brane and an anti D -brane plays the role of the inflaton.

Flux compactification in type IIB string theory has become an ideal set up to realize brane inflation. This is not only because it provides a promising mechanism to stabilize the moduli fields [4–6] and therefore a consistent string theory, but also because the flux induced warped space has become a very interesting new ingredient for model building.

Warped throats provide also different mechanisms to achieve brane inflation. Anti- $D3$ -branes naturally settle down in throats. The warped geometry red-shifts the brane tension and reduces (easily by a large factor) the mutual attraction between the branes and antibranes. In the KKLMNT scenario [7,8], for instance, the entire physics of inflation takes place in the throat region and it is mostly insensitive to the details of the ultra-violet Calabi-Yau region. In the KKLMNT an anti $D3$ -brane is sitting at the infra-red end of the throat and a $D3$ -brane is moving toward it. In the absence of anti branes, the $D3$ is a supersymmetric BPS object and it feels no force [9]. The only source of supersymmetry breaking in the compactification is the inclusion of the anti $D3$ -brane. The insertion of a distant anti $D3$ -brane just produces a very flat potential for the scalar field corresponding to the position of the $D3$ -brane, which plays the role of the inflaton field. Inflation ends when the branes come close together and annihilate, allowing the Universe to settle down to the string vacuum state that describes our Universe.

In the brane inflationary scenario, the curvature perturbation ζ is supposed to originate from the vacuum fluctuations of the inflaton field itself. In this short note, we point out a new possibility; that a significant, and possibly dominant, contribution to the curvature perturbation is generated at the end of inflation through the vacuum fluctuations of fields, other than the inflaton,

which are light during the inflationary trajectory and become heavy at the brane-anti brane annihilation. Indeed, if the branes are embedded in a string compactification where the background geometry has exact or approximate isometries, there are scalar brane degrees of freedom transverse to the mutual distance and parametrizing the internal angular directions of the mobile brane. Their potential is so flat that they have practically no influence on the inflaton trajectory. However, being almost massless during the de Sitter epoch, their quantum fluctuations are excited with a nearly scale-invariant spectrum.

Inflation ends when the mobile brane and the anti brane come close together and annihilate. More specifically, at each position in space, inflation ends when the inflaton field ϕ has some critical value ϕ_e . Usually, it is assumed that the end of inflation is controlled entirely by the inflaton, so that ϕ_e is independent of the position in space. Then inflation ends on a spacetime slice of uniform energy density. However, the value ϕ_e depends on the internal angular directions of the branes and, if these phases are quantum mechanically excited during inflation, the value ϕ_e will depend upon the position in space.

Under these circumstances, there is no reason why inflation should end on a slice of uniform energy density and one expects the comoving curvature perturbation ζ_e to be generated at the end of inflation [10–13] when the light fields become very massive and decay (after the end of the inflation the branes have disappeared and certainly cannot fluctuate anymore).

As a specific and concrete example, let us discuss again the KKLMNT model for D -brane inflation. The KKLMNT scenario corresponds to a Calabi-Yau geometry that develops a long warped AdS-like throat and it corresponds to a compactification of the Klebanov-Strassler (KS) solution [9]. The KS solution has an $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ isometry group. For example, the ultra-violet geometry of the throat is that of a cone over the Einstein manifold $T^{1,1} = SU(2) \otimes SU(2)/U(1)$.

In the infra-red region the singular tip of the cone is smoothen out to a three-sphere, which still preserves an $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ symmetry.

During its motion toward the infrared, the $D3$ -brane can fluctuate in the internal angular directions. Since during the inflationary period, the two brane set up approximately preserves the $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ symmetry, the scalar fields associated with the angular positions are almost massless and lighter than the Hubble rate. Furthermore, being angles, they do not get masses from the volume stabilization mechanism. In the Kähler potential of four-dimensional supergravity the volume modulus ρ always appears in the combination $\rho + \bar{\rho} - K(\phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha)$ [14], where ϕ_α collectively denote the position of the branes in the six internal directions, and K is the Kähler potential for the geometry. This coupling generates a mass for the fluctuations ϕ_α . However, since the geometry has isometries, $K(\phi_\alpha, \bar{\phi}_\alpha)$ does not depend on some of the angles. In this way, the form of potential for the angular fluctuations is not affected by the stabilization mechanism and leaves some angles much lighter than the Hubble rate during inflation. At the end of inflation, the $D3$ -brane comes close to the anti $D3$ -brane. At stringy distances, we cannot ignore the fields coming from open strings connecting the branes. In particular, in a generic brane-antibrane system, the open string ground state is a tachyon T with mass of order M_s , the string scale.

Since the annihilation takes place at the bottom of the throat, the tachyonic mass is redshifted to $m_T^2 \sim -a_0^2 M_s^2$, where a_0 is the redshift factor at the bottom of the throat. Unfortunately, the precise potential is rather dependent on the detailed structures of the compactification and remains to be explored more carefully. However, to illustrate our point, we can write a potential for the inflaton ϕ , the tachyon T and the angular positions θ_α which captures the salient features during inflation and of the tachyonic condensation when the brane and anti brane are close to each other. Keeping for illustrative purposes just one angular position, we write

$$V(\phi, T, \theta) = \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2 + T_3 a_0^4 \left[1 - c \left(\frac{a_0 M_s}{\phi} \right)^4 \right] + T^2 [\phi^2 - a_0^2 M_s^2 (a + b \cos \theta)] + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where T_3 is the tension of a $D3$ brane and the ellipses account for higher-order power terms in the field T and of possible terms responsible for tiny masses for the phase θ . One expects $a \sim b \sim 1$, and the coefficient $c = (1/MK) \ll 1$, where M and K are large integers parametrizing the flux units.

The second line vanishes during inflation, and specifies the inflaton field value ϕ_e at the end:

$$\phi_e^2 = a_0^2 M_s^2 (a + b \cos \theta). \quad (2)$$

The quadratic term for the inflaton field ϕ receives contributions from a number of sources and is rather model-dependent [7,15]. However m^2 is expected to be comparable to $H^2 = V_0/3M_p^2$, where M_p is the reduced Planck

mass ($G^{-1} = 8\pi M_p^2$) (this might represent an obstacle in getting a sufficient number of e-foldings, but various ways to solve this problem have been proposed [16]).

As long as ϕ is much larger than the (redshifted) string scale, T has a large positive mass, its vacuum expectation value $\langle T \rangle$ vanishes and all the terms in the potential involving T can be ignored. The inflationary potential is then independent of the angle θ . At stringy distances, T becomes tachyonic and triggers the brane-anti brane annihilation. When T condenses, all the fluctuation fields on the branes acquire masses roughly of order of the redshifted string scale. These masses are $\mathcal{O}(a_0 M_s)$ and much larger than the value of the Hubble rate $H \sim a_0^2 M_s$ at annihilation. All these massive fields will eventually decay.

There are two effects that break the $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ invariance and may prevent the angular fluctuations of the brane from being exactly massless during inflation [17].

There is a small source of breaking of the $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ symmetry due to the presence of the anti $D3$ -brane in the infra-red, which sits at a specific point on the three-sphere. This explicit breaking is though suppressed when the distance between the two branes during the inflationary period is sizable. The second effect is caused by the moduli stabilization that requires fluxes in the ultra-violet region typically breaking $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$; it is well known indeed that a Calabi-Yau manifold has no isometries at all. Even this second effect is suppressed by the distance. An estimate shows that the typical mass induced by the $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ breaking scales as $a^8(\phi)$ [18], where $a(\phi)$ is the warp factor evaluated at the position ϕ of the brane. This mass contribution is therefore suppressed compared to the Hubble rate during inflation.

There is a dual interpretation of these massless fields. As familiar from the AdS/CFT correspondence and the holographic interpretation, the throat part of the compactification can be effectively replaced by a strongly interacting gauge theory coupled to the four-dimensional gravity. In this picture, the $D3$ brane position corresponds to a flat direction in the moduli space of vacua of the gauge theory. The global symmetry $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ is spontaneously broken along this flat direction [19]. The angular positions of the brane are therefore identified with the massless Goldstone bosons of the spontaneously broken global symmetry.

Now we turn to the calculation of the curvature perturbation. The phase will be $\theta \simeq \sigma/\phi_e$, where σ is canonically normalized. Using the δN -formalism, we may compute the spectrum of the curvature perturbation [12,13]

$$\mathcal{P}_\zeta = \left[\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \phi} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{H}{2\pi} \right)^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \phi} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \left. \frac{V}{V'} \right|_*, \quad (4)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{M_p^2} \frac{V}{V'} \bigg|_e \left(\frac{d\phi_e}{d\sigma}\right)^2. \quad (5)$$

In Eq. (3), the first piece corresponds to the curvature perturbation generated during inflation, while the second to the curvature perturbation ζ_e generated at the end of inflation. It coincides with the perturbation of the change of the number of e-folds from a spacetime slice of uniform energy density just before the end of inflation to a spacetime slice of uniform energy density just after the end of inflation. In Eqs. (4) and (5), $V(\phi)$ is the potential during inflation and $3M_p^2 H^2 = V \simeq T_3 a_0^4$. The star denotes the epoch when cosmological scales leave the horizon, and the subscript e denotes the end of inflation. For a typical value of θ , we have $\phi_e \sim a_0 M_s$ and $d\phi_e/d\sigma \sim 1$.

The first contribution is generated at horizon exit by the fluctuation of the inflaton, and the second contribution is generated at the end of inflation by the fluctuation of the phase field. (We assume that ζ remains constant afterward.) Let us see if the latter contribution can dominate. This will not happen if the mass term is negligible, because then $V'/V \propto \phi^{-5}$ is increasing with time.

Suppose instead that the mass term dominates throughout inflation. This is the case if

$$c \ll \eta \phi_e^2 / M_p^2, \quad (6)$$

where $\eta \equiv m^2 / 3H_*^2$. Then we have $V'/V \propto \phi$ and

$$\phi_* = e^{N\eta} \phi_e. \quad (7)$$

We are now dealing with the model investigated in [13], using a somewhat different notation. For typical values of θ , the fluctuation of the phase field dominates if $\eta N \gtrsim 1$. Then the spectrum has the observed value if

$$5 \times 10^{-4} \sim \frac{\sqrt{T_3} a_0}{\eta M_s M_p}, \quad (8)$$

which can be achieved by a suitable choice of parameters.

As c is increased with the other parameters fixed, there is a continuous transition to the unviable case that the mass term is negligible throughout inflation. This transition is complete when $c \sim \eta \phi_e^2 \sqrt{\eta N} / M_p^2$.

The spectral index of the curvature perturbation is determined by the potential of σ evaluated at horizon exit through the expression [21,22] $n = 1 + 2V''(\sigma)/3H^2$. The potential is roughly $m_\sigma^2 \phi_*^2 \cos(\sigma/\phi_*)$, and if m_σ^2/H^2 is tiny as we suggested earlier, n is indistinguishable from 1, which is consistent with observation at the 2- to 3- σ level [23].

Finally, we consider non-Gaussianity with the mass term dominating. Assuming that the fluctuation of the phase field dominates, the parameter for the primordial non-Gaussianity is

$$\frac{3}{5} f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{\partial^2 N}{\partial \sigma^2} \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \sigma}\right)^{-2} \sim \eta \frac{\phi_e}{M_p} \frac{d^2 \phi_e}{d\sigma^2} \left(\frac{d\phi_e}{d\sigma}\right)^{-2}. \quad (9)$$

We need $|f_{\text{NL}}| \gtrsim 1$ for it to be eventually observable [20]. As was investigated in [13], that may be possible for a very special choice of the phase angle, but there seems to be no reason to make such a choice. In particular, anthropic selection does not seem to favour (or disfavor) significant non-Gaussianity [24].

To summarize, we have pointed out that in inflationary scenarios built up in string models, where inflation is driven by mobile branes moving in certain compactification manifolds, the cosmological perturbations may be generated at the final stage of inflation, when brane and anti brane annihilate, through the vacuum fluctuations of pseudo Nambu-Goldstone fields. They are associated to the isometries present when the brane and anti brane are far apart and disappear close to the tachyonic point. The generation of the curvature perturbation in such a case is due to the fact that the end of inflation happens in different space points at different instants of time. As a specific example we have discussed the KKLMMT scenario, but we expect our findings to be quite generic.

-
- [1] G. R. Dvali and S.-H. H. Tye, “Brane inflation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **450**, 72 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9812483].
 - [2] C. P. Burgess, M. Majumdar, D. Nolte, F. Quevedo, G. Rajesh and R. J. Zhang, “The inflationary brane-antibrane universe,” *JHEP* **0107**, 047 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0105204].
 - [3] G. R. Dvali, Q. Shafi and S. Solganik, “D-brane inflation,” arXiv:hep-th/0105203.
 - [4] S. B. Giddings, S. Kachru and J. Polchinski, “Hierarchies from fluxes in string compactifications,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 106006 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0105097].
 - [5] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde and S. P. Trivedi, “De Sitter vacua in string theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 046005 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301240].
 - [6] M. Grana, “Flux compactifications in string theory: A comprehensive review,” *Phys. Rept.* **423**, 91 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0509003].
 - [7] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. Linde, J. Maldacena, L. McAllister and S. P. Trivedi, “Towards inflation in string theory,” *JCAP* **0310**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308055].
 - [8] H. Firouzjahi and S.-H. H. Tye, “Brane inflation and cosmic string tension in superstring theory,” *JCAP* **0503**, 009 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501099].
 - [9] I. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, *JHEP* **0008**, 052 (2000).
 - [10] F. Bernardeau and J. P. Uzan, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 121301 (2003).
 - [11] F. Bernardeau, L. Kofman and J. P. Uzan, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 083004 (2004).
 - [12] D. H. Lyth, *JCAP* **0511**, 006 (2005).
 - [13] L. Alabidi and D. Lyth, arXiv:astro-ph/0604569.
 - [14] O. DeWolfe and S. B. Giddings, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 066008 (2003).
 - [15] S. E. Shandera, *JCAP* **0504**, 011 (2005).

- [16] J. P. Hsu, R. Kallosh and S. Prokushkin, JCAP **0312**, 009 (2003); F. Koyama, Y. Tachikawa and T. Watari, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 106001 (2004); J. P. Hsu and R. Kallosh, JHEP **0404**, 042 (2004); C. P. Burgess, J. M. Cline, H. Stoica and F. Quevedo, JHEP **0409**, 033 (2004); N. Iizuka and S. P. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 043519 (2004).
- [17] L. Pilo, A. Riotto and A. Zaffaroni, JHEP **0407**, 052 (2004); G. F. Giudice, A. Riotto and A. Zaffaroni, Nucl. Phys. B **710**, 511 (2005).
- [18] O. DeWolfe, S. Kachru and H. Verlinde, JHEP **0405**, 017 (2004).
- [19] The set up with K and M fluxes is dual to a $SU(KM + M) \otimes SU(KM)$ $N = 1$ supersymmetric theory with pairs of bifundamental fields A_i and B_i , $i = 1, 2$, each one rotated by a global $SU(2)$ symmetry, with an $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$ invariant superpotential. The same compactification with a $D3$ brane inserted can be represented by a gauge group $SU(KM + M + 1) \otimes SU(KM + 1)$ broken to $SU(KM + M) \otimes SU(KM)$ along a flat direction where A, B acquire a VEV breaking the global symmetry $SU(2) \otimes SU(2)$.
- [20] N. Bartolo, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. **402**, 103 (2004); M. Liguori, F. K. Hansen, E. Komatsu, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 043505 (2006); N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, JCAP **0605**, 010 (2006); N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, arXiv:astro-ph/0604416.
- [21] M. Sasaki and E. D. Stewart, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95**, 71 (1996).
- [22] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. **314**, 1 (1999); A. Riotto, arXiv:hep-ph/0210162.
- [23] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, arXiv:astro-ph/0603449; L. Alabidi and D. H. Lyth, arXiv:astro-ph/0603539; W. H. Kinney, E. W. Kolb, A. Melchiorri and A. Riotto, arXiv:astro-ph/0605338.
- [24] D. H. Lyth, arXiv:astro-ph/0602285.